1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 1:23-cv-00798-CDB NICHOLAS PLAGAKIS, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ON STIPULATION CONSOLIDATING CASES PURSUANT TO RULE 42(a) 13 v. 14 OUTSOURCE UTILITY CONTRACTOR CORP., 15 Defendant. 16 17 NICHOLAS PLAGAKIS, Case No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB 18 Plaintiff, ORDER ON STIPULATION CONSOLIDATING 19 CASES PURSUANT TO RULE 42(a) 20 OUTSOURCE UTILITY CONTRACTOR CORP., 21 Defendant. 22 23 24 On April 11, 2023, Plaintiff Nicholas Plagakis ("Plaintiff") filed a putative class action against 25 Defendant Outsource Utility Contractor Corp. ("Defendant") in the Superior Court of the State of 26 California, County of Kern. Plagakis, No. 1:23-cv-00798-CDB at Doc. 1. Defendant removed 27 Plaintiff's putative class action to this Court on May 24, 2023. *Id.* On June 15, 2023, Plaintiff filed an 28

Case 1:23-cv-00798-CDB Document 22 Filed 09/08/23 Page 2 of 3

28 | | / / /

action pursuant to California's Private Attorney General Action (PAGA) in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Kern. *Plagakis*, No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB at Doc. 1. Defendant removed Plaintiff's PAGA action to this Court on July 26, 2023. *Id*.

Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulation to consolidate these two cases. *Plagakis*, No. 1:23-cv-00798-CDB at Doc. 21. The parties represent both cases arise out of the same employment relationship between Plaintiff, the putative class and/or allegedly similarly aggrieved employees, and Defendant. *Id.* at 2. Further, the parties stipulate these cases present common questions of fact and law, and consolidation is appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a). *Id.*

When multiple actions pending before a court involve common questions of law or fact, the court may order a joint hearing or trial of any or all matters at issue in the actions; consolidate the actions; and/or issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay. Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). The court has "broad discretion" to determine whether and to what extent consolidation is appropriate. *See Garity v. APWU Nat'l Labor Org.*, 828 F.3d 848, 855-56 (9th Cir. 2016) (*citing Inv'rs Research Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the Cent. Dist. of Cal.*, 877 F.2d 777, 777 (9th Cir. 1989)). "Typically, consolidation is a favored procedure." *Blount v. Boston Scientific Corporation*, No. 1:19-cv-00578-AWI-SAB, 2019 WL 3943872, *2 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 21, 2019) (*citing In re Oreck Corp. Halo Vacuum & Air Purifiers Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.*, 282 F.R.D. 486, 491 (C.D. Cal. 2012)). In deciding whether to consolidate actions, the court "weighs the saving of time and effort consolidation would produce against any inconvenience, delay, or expense that it would cause." *Huene v. United States*, 743 F.2d 703, 704 (9th Cir. 1984); *Single Chip Sys. Corp. v. Intermec IP Corp.*, 495 F.Supp.2d 1052, 1057 (S.D. Cal. 2007).

Here, the Court finds there are significant and substantial common issues of fact and law that warrant consolidation under Rule 42(a). Moreover, the Court agrees with the parties the benefit of consolidation would reduce the burden on judicial resources, the parties, and the witnesses, eliminate the risk of inconsistent adjudications, avoid prejudice, and allow for the orderly and expeditious resolution of both cases to consolidate the actions into one proceeding.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 1. The actions denominated as Plagakis, No. 1:23-cv-00798-CDB, and Plagakis, No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB are CONSOLIDATED under Rule 42(a) for all purposes; 2. All future filings in the consolidated action shall be submitted under lead case No. 1:23-cv-00798; 3. The scheduling conference in Plagakis, No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB, currently set for October 2023, is VACATED; and 4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		
1:23-cv-01119-CDB are CONSOLIDATED under Rule 42(a) for all purposes; 2. All future filings in the consolidated action shall be submitted under lead case No. 1:23-cv-00798; 3. The scheduling conference in <i>Plagakis</i> , No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB, currently set for October 2023, is VACATED; and 4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24	1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
2. All future filings in the consolidated action shall be submitted under lead case No. 1:23-cv-00798; 3. The scheduling conference in <i>Plagakis</i> , No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB, currently set for October 2023, is VACATED; and 4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2	1. The actions denominated as <i>Plagakis</i> , No. 1:23-cv-00798-CDB, and <i>Plagakis</i> , No.
1:23-cv-00798; 3. The scheduling conference in <i>Plagakis</i> , No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB, currently set for October 2023, is VACATED; and 4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3	1:23-cv-01119-CDB are CONSOLIDATED under Rule 42(a) for all purposes;
3. The scheduling conference in <i>Plagakis</i> , No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB, currently set for October 2023, is VACATED; and 4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4	2. All future filings in the consolidated action shall be submitted under lead case No.
October 2023, is VACATED; and 4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member of (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5	1:23-cv-00798;
4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6	3. The scheduling conference in <i>Plagakis</i> , No. 1:23-cv-01119-CDB, currently set for
9 (Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	7	October 2023, is VACATED; and
10 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	8	4. Defendant's fully briefed motions to dismiss in the lead case (Doc. 4) and member case
11 Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	9	(Doc. 6) are SUBMITTED.
Dated: September 8, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	11	Dated: September 8, 2023
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24		
19 20 21 22 23 24		
20 21 22 23 24		
21 22 23 24		
22 23 24		
23 24		
24		
26		
27 27		
28		